## 

## ORIGINAL

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT AMOUSTA DIV.

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

2006 NOV 22 P 2: 45

AUGUSTA DIVISION

| CLERK C | · Ae | la | صر  |
|---------|------|----|-----|
| SO      | DIST | OF | GA. |

| GREGORY GILLILAN,                     | )       | St     |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Plaintiff,                            | )       |        |
| <b>v.</b>                             | ) CV 10 | )6-125 |
| MS. STEVENS, Prison Guard, 1st Shift, | )       |        |
| Defendant.                            | )       |        |

## MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Gregory Gillian, an inmate at Augusta State Medical Prison in Grovetown, Georgia, commenced the above-captioned civil rights case *pro se* and requested permission to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). On September 11, 2006, the Court directed Plaintiff to return his Prisoner Trust Fund Account Statement and Consent to Collection of Fees forms within thirty (30) days and advised Plaintiff that all prisoners, even those proceeding IFP, must pay the filing fee of \$350.00 in full. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to respond would be an election to have this case voluntarily dismissed without prejudice. (See doc. no. 3). Plaintiff failed to respond.

On October 17, 2006, the Court granted Plaintiff ten (10) additional days to comply with the terms of the Court's September 11, 2006 Order. (See doc. no. 4). Once again, Plaintiff was warned that his failure to comply in a timely fashion with the Court's Order would result in a recommendation that his case be dismissed. The time to respond has passed, and Plaintiff has not submitted the documents required by the Court's September 11,

2006 Order, nor has he provided the Court with any explanation why he has not complied.

Plaintiff cannot proceed IFP unless he submits the requisite Trust Fund Account Statement and consents to the collection of the entire \$350.00 filing fee in installments. Wilson v. Sargent, 313 F.3d 1315, 1319, 1321 (11th Cir. 2002) (per curiam) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1915). Plaintiff has been warned repeatedly that failing to return the necessary IFP papers would be an election to have his case voluntarily dismissed. As Plaintiff has neither fulfilled the requirements for proceeding IFP, nor paid the filing fee, the Court REPORTS and RECOMMENDS that this case be DISMISSED, without prejudice.

SO REPORTED and RECOMMENDED this 2006, at Augusta, Georgia.

W. LEON BARFIELD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE